

## On a problem of P. Hall for Engel words

ALIREZA ABDOLLAHI AND FRANCESCO G. RUSSO

**Abstract.** Let  $\theta$  be a word in  $n$  variables and let  $G$  be a group; the marginal and verbal subgroups of  $G$  determined by  $\theta$  are denoted by  $\theta(G)$  and  $\theta^*(G)$ , respectively. The following problems are generally attributed to P. Hall:

(I) If  $\pi$  is a set of primes and  $|G : \theta^*(G)|$  is a finite  $\pi$ -group, is  $\theta(G)$  also a finite  $\pi$ -group?

(II) If  $\theta(G)$  is finite and  $G$  satisfies maximal condition on its subgroups, is  $|G : \theta^*(G)|$  finite?

(III) If the set  $\{\theta(g_1, \dots, g_n) \mid g_1, \dots, g_n \in G\}$  is finite, does it follow that  $\theta(G)$  is finite?

We investigate the case in which  $\theta$  is the  $n$ -Engel word  $e_n = [x, {}_n y]$  for  $n \in \{2, 3, 4\}$ .

**Mathematics Subject Classification (2010).** 20F45, 20F14, 20F18.

**Keywords.** Engel words, Marginal subgroup, Verbal subgroup.

**1. Introduction.** A classic result of Schur [12, p. 26] says that a group  $G$  whose center  $Z(G)$  is of finite index has finite derived subgroup  $G'$ . The converse is due to P. Hall, under some natural restrictions on  $G$ . Another well-known result, belonging to the same line of investigation, shows that  $G'$  is finite whenever the set  $\{x^{-1}y^{-1}xy \mid x, y \in G\}$  is finite. It is possible to generalize these three statements in terms of an arbitrary word, replacing in a suitable way the roles of  $Z(G)$  and  $G'$ .

For a word  $\theta$  in  $n$  variables, the *verbal subgroup* of  $G$ , determined by  $\theta$ , is

$$\theta(G) = \langle \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_1, \dots, x_n \in G \rangle,$$

---

A. Abdollahi's research was in part supported by the Center of Excellence for Mathematics, University of Isfahan, and also by a grant from IPM (no. 90050219). F. G. Russo's research was in part supported by a grant from Università degli Studi di Palermo (ex 40%).

and the *marginal subgroup*, determined by  $\theta$ , is

$$\theta^*(G) = \{a \in G \mid \theta(x_1, \dots, x_{i-1}, ax_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_n) = \theta(x_1, \dots, x_n), \forall i, \forall x_i \in G\}.$$

We also denote by  $\{\theta\}(G)$  the set  $\{\theta(x_1, \dots, x_n) \mid x_1, \dots, x_n \in G\}$ .

The following problems are due to Hall (see [11, Chapter 4]):

- (I) If  $\pi$  is a set of primes and  $|G : \theta^*(G)|$  is a finite  $\pi$ -group, is  $\theta(G)$  also a finite  $\pi$ -group?
- (II) If  $\theta(G)$  is finite and  $G$  satisfies maximal condition on its subgroups, is  $|G : \theta^*(G)|$  finite?
- (III) If the set  $\{\theta(g_1, \dots, g_n) \mid g_1, \dots, g_n \in G\}$  is finite, does it follow that  $\theta(G)$  is finite?

If  $\theta(x_1, x_2) = [x_1, x_2] = x_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}x_1x_2$  is the *commutator word* in 2 variables, then  $\theta(G)$  becomes  $G'$ ,  $\theta^*(G)$  becomes  $Z(G)$  and all problems (I), (II) and (III) have positive solution by [12, p. 26]. Initial contributions were given by Merzljakov [9] and Turner-Smith [16], who generalized Schur’s result to the so-called *outer commutator words*, in the context of linear groups and of finitely generated abelian-by-nilpotent groups. More recently, there have been further results. (II) has been proved in [10] for  $[x_1, x_2]$  under the weaker assumption that  $G$  is finitely generated; (III) has been answered positively in [3] for  $[x_1, x_2]$  and in [2] for the commutator word  $[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n]$  in  $n$ -variables.

The choice of  $\theta$  plays a fundamental role in the strategies of the proofs, otherwise counterexamples may occur. For instance, Ivanov [7] showed that there exist words  $\theta$  for which  $\{\theta\}(G)$  is finite and  $\theta(G)$  is infinite. However  $\theta(G)$  turns out to be not residually finite so the situation described by Ivanov is very special. The situation seems very complicated in general.

Here we will concentrate on  $e_n(x, y) = [x, {}_n y]$ , the *left normed  $n$ -Engel commutator word*, where  $n \geq 1$ . Consequently,

$$E_n(G) = \langle e_n(x, y) \mid x, y \in G \rangle \tag{1.1}$$

is the verbal subgroup, determined by  $e_n(x, y)$ , and

$$E_n^*(G) = \{a \in G \mid e_n(x, y) = e_n(ax, y) = e_n(x, ay) \forall x, y \in G\} \tag{1.2}$$

is the marginal subgroup, determined by  $e_n(x, y)$ . These subgroups are always characteristic in  $G$ , as noted in [14, Theorems 1.1], and are dual in the sense of [14, Theorems 1.1 and 1.2]. Furthermore, for left normed  $n$ -Engel words we have evidences from [1, 3, 5, 15] that (I), (II) and (III) may have a positive solution. The following sections are devoted to prove this for small values of  $n$ .

**2. Main results.** We begin with (I) for  $e_2(x, y)$ .

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $G$  be a group. If  $G/E_2^*(G)$  is finite, then  $E_2(G)$  is finite-by-cyclic.*

*Proof.* We invoke [2, Theorem B]: If the set

$$\{\gamma_n\}(G) = \{[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n] \mid x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n \in G\}$$

is covered by finitely many cyclic groups, then the  $n$ -th term  $\gamma_n(G)$  of the lower central series of  $G$  is finite-by-cyclic.

Let  $g_1, \dots, g_n$  be a system of transversals of  $G$  in  $E_2^*(G)$ . We have

$$\{E_2\}(G) \subseteq \{\gamma_3\}(G) \subseteq G \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ g_i \in G}}^n g_i E_2^*(G) \subseteq \bigcup_{\substack{i=1 \\ g_i \in G}}^n \langle g_i E_2^*(G) \rangle.$$

Noting that subgroups of finite-by-cyclic groups remain finite-by-cyclic, the fact that  $\gamma_3(G)$  is finite-by-cyclic, together with  $E_2(G) \subseteq \gamma_3(G)$ , implies that  $E_2(G)$  is finite-by-cyclic.  $\square$

We continue with (II), generalizing indirectly [10, Main Theorem].

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finitely generated group. If  $E_2(G)$  is finite, then  $G/Z_2(G)$  is finite. In particular,  $G/E_2^*(G)$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Since  $G/E_2(G)$  is a 2-Engel group, it follows from [11, Corollary 3, vol. II, p. 45] that  $G/E_2(G)$  is nilpotent of class at most 3 and  $\gamma_3(G/E_2(G))$  is of exponent dividing 3. Thus  $\gamma_4(G) \leq E_2(G)$  and  $(\gamma_3(G))^3 \leq E_2(G)$ . Since  $\gamma_4(G)$  is finite,  $G$  is a finite-by-nilpotent group. Therefore  $G$  satisfies maximal condition on subgroups, since  $G$  is finitely generated. It follows that  $\gamma_3(G)E_2(G)/E_2(G)$  is a finitely generated abelian group of exponent dividing 3. Thus  $\gamma_3(G)$  is also finite and a result of Hall [4] implies that  $G/Z_2(G)$  is finite. Since  $Z_2(G) \leq E_2^*(G)$ , the second part follows.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $G$  be a group such that  $\{E_2\}(G)$  is finite. Then  $E_2(G)$  is finite. If we assume further that  $G$  is finitely generated, then  $G/E_2^*(G)$  is also finite.*

*Proof.* Let  $F_4$  be the free group of rank 4 on the free generators  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4$ . Then  $F_4/E_2(F_4)$  is nilpotent of class 3 (see [11, Corollary 3, vol. II, p. 45]). It follows that there are words  $x_i, y_i$  and  $z_i$  for  $i = 1, \dots, t$  on  $f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4$  and  $\epsilon_i \in \{1, -1\}$  such that

$$[f_1, f_2, f_3, f_4] = [x_1, y_1, y_1]^{\epsilon_1 z_1} \dots [x_t, y_t, y_t]^{\epsilon_t z_t}.$$

Thus we have that  $\{\gamma_4\}(G) \subseteq \{E_2\}(G)^{\epsilon_1} \dots \{E_2\}(G)^{\epsilon_t}$ . Hence  $\{\gamma_4\}(G)$  is finite and so  $\gamma_4(G)$  is finite by [11, Vol. I, Corollary, p. 120]. There exists a finitely generated subgroup  $H$  such that  $E_2(G) = E_2(H)$ . Since  $H$  is finitely generated and  $\gamma_4(H)$  is finite,  $H$  is also finite-by-nilpotent. Now it follows from [13, Theorem 1.4.2] that  $E_2(H) = E_2(G)$  is finite. This completes the proof of the first part.

To prove the second part, note that since  $G$  is finitely generated and  $\gamma_4(G)$  is finite, it follows from Hall's Theorem [4] that  $G/Z_3(G)$  is finite. Now Proposition 2.2 completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 2.4.** *Let  $k \in \{3, 4\}$ . If  $G$  is a group such that  $\{E_k\}(G)$  is finite, then  $E_k(G)$  is finite.*

*Proof.* Let  $F_d$  be the free group of rank  $d$  on the free generators  $f_1, \dots, f_d$  and  $\bar{F}_d = F_d/E_k(F_d)$ . Then  $\bar{F}_d$  is a  $k$ -Engel group. In case of 3-Engel groups there are some classic results in [6, 8] which allow us to conclude that every  $d$ -generated 3-Engel group is nilpotent of class at most  $2d$ . Similarly for 4-Engel groups, [5, 15] allow us to conclude that every  $d$ -generated 4-Engel group is nilpotent of class at most  $4d$ . Therefore  $\gamma_{2d+1}(F_d) \leq E_3(F_d)$  and  $\gamma_{4d+1}(F_d) \leq E_4(F_d)$ . By a similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.3, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \{\gamma_{2d+1}\}(G) &\subseteq \{E_3\}(G)^{\epsilon_1} \dots \{E_3\}(G)^{\epsilon_{t_d}}, \\ \{\gamma_{4d+1}\}(G) &\subseteq \{E_4\}(G)^{\delta_1} \dots \{E_4\}(G)^{\delta_{s_d}} \end{aligned}$$

for some  $\epsilon_i, \delta_i \in \{1, -1\}$  and some integers  $t_d, s_d$ . It follows from [11, Vol. I, Corollary, p. 120] that  $G$  is a finitely generated finite-by-nilpotent group. Now [13, Theorem 1.4.2] completes the proof.  $\square$

**3. Some applications.** In this section we give two consequences, related to the minimal number of generators  $d(G)$  of a finitely generated group  $G$ . The first generalizes [10, Main Theorem].

**Corollary 3.1.** *In a finitely generated group  $G$ , if  $E_2(G)$  is finite, then*

$$d(G/E_2^*(G)) \leq d(C_G(E_2(G))/E_2^*(G)) |E_2(G)|^{d(E_2(G))}.$$

*Proof.* Since  $[E_2^*(G), E_2(G)] = 1$ ,  $E_2^*(G) \leq C_G(E_2(G))$ . Then, we consider the (abelian) quotient group  $C_G(E_2(G))/E_2^*(G)$  and deduce by Proposition 2.2 that  $d(C_G(E_2(G))/E_2^*(G))$  is a positive integer.

The monomorphism  $G/C_G(E_2(G)) \hookrightarrow \text{Aut}(E_2(G))$  implies

$$|G : C_G(E_2(G))| \leq |\text{Aut}(E_2(G))|$$

and then  $G/C_G(E_2(G))$  is finite. It turns out that

$$|\text{Aut}(E_2(G))| \leq |E_2(G)|^{d(E_2(G))}$$

and that  $|G : E_2^*(G)| = |G : C_G(E_2(G))| |C_G(E_2(G)) : E_2^*(G)|$ . We conclude

$$d(G/E_2^*(G)) \leq d(C_G(E_2(G))/E_2^*(G)) |E_2(G)|^{d(E_2(G))}.$$

$\square$

The second is a specialization in case of finite  $p$ -groups ( $p$  prime).

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a finite  $p$ -group such that  $G' = HE_2(G)$  and  $H \leq G' \cap Z_2(G)$ . Then*

$$|G : E_2^*(G)| \leq \exp(Z_3(G)/E_2^*(G))^{d(Z_3(G)/E_2^*(G))} \cdot |E_2(G)|^{d(G/Z_3(G))}.$$

*Proof.* If  $E_2^*(G)$  is trivial, then  $Z(G)$  is trivial and this cannot be true, since  $G$  is a  $p$ -group. Then there is no loss of generality in assuming that  $E_2^*(G)$  is non-trivial. We have

$$\begin{aligned} |G/Z_3(G)| &= \left| \frac{G/Z_2(G)}{Z(G/Z_2(G))} \right| \leq |[G/Z_2(G), G/Z_2(G)]|^{d(G/Z_3(G))} \\ &= |G'Z_2(G)/Z_2(G)|^{d(G/Z_3(G))} = |G' : G' \cap Z_2(G)|^{d(G/Z_3(G))}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $Z_2(G) \leq E_2^*(G) \leq Z_3(G)$  by [14, Theorem 2.3 (i) and Corollary 2.8],  $Z_3(G)/E_2^*(G)$  is abelian because a section of  $Z_3(G)/Z_2(G)$ . Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} |G/E_2^*(G)| &= |G/Z_3(G)| \cdot |Z_3(G)/E_2^*(G)| \\ &\leq |G' : G' \cap Z_2(G)|^{d(G/Z_3(G))} \cdot \exp(Z_3(G)/E_2^*(G))^{d(Z_3(G)/E_2^*(G))} \\ &\leq \exp(Z_3(G)/E_2^*(G))^{d(Z_3(G)/E_2^*(G))} \cdot |E_2(G)|^{d(G/Z_3(G))}. \end{aligned}$$

The result follows.  $\square$

**Acknowledgements.** We thank the referee for some useful suggestions, which have improved the exposition of the results.

### References

- [1] A. ABDOLLAHI AND G. TRAUSTASON, On locally finite  $p$ -groups satisfying an Engel condition, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **130** (2002), 2827–2836.
- [2] G. CUTOLO AND C. NICOTERA, Verbal sets and cyclic coverings, *J. Algebra* **324** (2010), 1616–1624.
- [3] G. A. FERNÁNDEZ-ALCOBER AND P. SHUMYATSKY, On groups in which commutators are covered by finitely many cyclic subgroups, *J. Algebra* **319** (2008), 4844–4851.
- [4] P. HALL, Finite-by-nilpotent groups, *Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc.* **52** (1956), 611–616.
- [5] G. HAVAS AND M. R. VAUGHAN-LEE, 4-Engel groups are locally nilpotent, *Int. J. Algebra Comput.* **15** (2005), 649–682.
- [6] H. HEINEKEN, Engelsche Elemente der Länge drei, *Illinois J. Math.* **5** (1961), 681–707.
- [7] S. V. IVANOV, P. Hall’s conjecture on the finiteness of verbal subgroups, *Izv. Vyssh. Uchebn. Zaved. Mat.* **6** (1989), 60–70.
- [8] L.-C. KAPPE AND W. P. KAPPE, On three-Engel groups, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* **7** (1972), 391–405.
- [9] YU. I. MERZLJAKOV, Verbal and marginal subgroups of linear groups, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **177** (1967), 1008–1011.
- [10] P. NIROOMAND, The converse of Schur’s Theorem, *Arch. Math. (Basel)* **94** (2010), 401–403.
- [11] D. J. S. ROBINSON, *Finitess conditions and generalized soluble groups, vol. I, II*, Springer, Berlin, 1972.
- [12] I. SCHUR, Über die Darstellung der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochene lineare Substitutionen, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **127** (1904), 20–50.
- [13] D. SEGAL, *Words: notes on verbal width in groups*, LMS Lect. Notes **361**, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2009.
- [14] T. K. TEAGUE, On the Engel margin, *Pacific J. Math.* **50** (1974), 205–214.
- [15] G. TRAUSTASON, Locally nilpotent 4-Engel groups are Fitting groups, *J. Algebra* **270** (2003), 7–27.

- [16] R. F. TURNER-SMITH, Finiteness conditions for verbal subgroups, *J. London Math. Soc.* **41** (1966), 166–176.

ALIREZA ABDOLLAHI  
Department of Mathematics,  
University of Isfahan,  
81746-73441 Isfahan, Iran

ALIREZA ABDOLLAHI  
School of Mathematics,  
Institute for Research in Fundamental Sciences (IPM),  
P.O.Box 19395-5746, Tehran, Iran  
e-mail: [a.abdollahi@math.ui.ac.ir](mailto:a.abdollahi@math.ui.ac.ir)

FRANCESCO G. RUSSO  
Dipartimento di Matematica e Informatica,  
Università degli Studi di Palermo,  
via Archirafi 34, 90123 Palermo, Italy

FRANCESCO G. RUSSO  
Department of Mathematics,  
Universiti Teknologi Malaysia,  
81310 Skudai, Johor, Malaysia  
e-mail: [francescog.russo@yahoo.com](mailto:francescog.russo@yahoo.com)

Received: 10 April 2011